

Regarding the Board meeting where I allegedly "escalated to yelling", **please provide the date of that meeting so I may view the video.** In my recollection, I have only resorted to raising my voice - not "yelling"- in order to finish my sentence while being cut off with shouts from the Chairman - who truly and frequently does yell. It has been claimed as a "safety issue". The only time "safety" became an issue occurred after a meeting when Cassie Hammond approached me in a physically aggressive manner. It was witnessed by Chuck. That should not be ascribed to me. *The meeting was February 9, 2022. Customer inquiry continued over 3 minutes allotted and was announced at the 3 minute point. Customer did not acquiesce, the meeting was adjourned. Video taping was discontinued at the time of adjournment.*

✓

I am not confused regarding the **[statutory support for the] 48 hour advance filing of a Request to Speak at the Hearing.** First, my question was specifically speaking of the rate increase Hearing, not a Regular meeting or a Call to the Public. Secondly, the website posted the 48 hour requirement on the front page up until the day of the Hearing and approximately a week beyond. The question remains unanswered. *State law does not require 48-hour notice for individuals to speak, however, it is allowable for organizations such as Special Districts, HOAs, etc., to have their own procedural rules requiring registration to speak at a hearing.*

✓

I am confused about **the difference between "items to be discussed at a Regular meeting" and "concerns you wish to have considered for an upcoming Agenda".** Both must be submitted in writing but one requires 24 hour notice and the other 7 days. How do I determine the difference?

*Agendas must be posted 24 hours prior to a meeting, therefore is you wish something to be considered it must be submitted 7 days prior to any meeting and the board can be apprised of the item.*

Should we remove the 24 hr thing?

*Per ARS 38-431.02 - H. Agendas required under this section shall list the specific matters to be discussed, considered or decided at the meeting. Except for a meeting through technological devices, the agenda shall also include notice of the time that the public will have physical access to the meeting place. The public body may discuss, consider or make decisions only on matters listed on the agenda and other matters related thereto.*

Red?

K. Notwithstanding subsection H of this section, the chief administrator, presiding officer or a member of a public body may present a brief summary of current events without listing in the agenda the specific matters to be summarized, if:

1. The summary is listed on the agenda. *(CDWID refers to this as Board Member Reports). This is when we can bring up and an item that someone has requested be placed on the agenda. If everyone concurs, then it **may** be placed on an upcoming agenda. The public body does not propose, discuss, deliberate or take legal action at that meeting on any matter in the summary unless the specific matter is properly noticed for legal action.*

Regarding "We're not allowed to", I strongly disagree with the statement that "Board members (in the past) have made several attempts to work with [me] to answer questions and resolve issues." **I challenge the Board to PROVIDE PROOF OF ANY email response, summarize ANY telephone or personal conversations that have supposedly been had and with whom, (with the exception of a simple reply email from Lance and a single phone call with Ron following my inquiry about the fire hydrant /ERP/ Call to the Public.). Please provide PROOF OF ANY-anything that supports this claim.** This claim is completely false and made purely for the goal of portraying me in a bad light while attempting to excuse irresponsible behavior. **I am asking you to either PROVIDE PROOF of this claim or remove the false statement from the website.**

*CDWID does not have tangible proof nor do we keep records of verbal conversations, however, history has proven that verbal reports and discussions during monthly board meetings can be misinterpreted by some. Turning a discussion into a "he said, she said" is not in the best interest of CDWID nor their customers.*

*perfect!*

Regarding the 1,000 gallon rate level: I do not understand "it will include a line item". Please explain. I saw nothing different on my last two bills.

*We are still evaluating 500 gallon and 1000 gallon rates that will be mutually beneficial and that will work with our current system.*

*you could add that the minimum is 2,000 gal and there are 1,000 gal tiers after that. - of course that isn't worded well but this is another case of her not understanding basics + making drama where there is none.*